IEC 62262:2002+AMD1:2021

Measurement and Test Report

For

AKUVOX (XIAMEN) NETWORKS CO., LTD.

10/F, No.56 Guanri Road, Software Park II, Xiamen 361009, China

Test model: S532

This Report Concerns: ☒ Original Report	**Equipment Type:** Door Phone

IK CODE : IK08

Compiled by: Rik Sun

Test Date: 2024-03-18

Report Date: 2024-03-21

Reviewed By: Eric Ding

Prepared By:

Bay Area Compliance Laboratories Corp. (Dongguan)
No.12, Pulong East 1st Road, Tangxia Town,
Dongguan,Guangdong,China.
Tel: +86-0769-86858888
Fax:+86-0769-86858588

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. GENERAL INFORMATION 3
1.1 Product Description for Equipment under Test (EUT) 3
1.2 Objective 3
1.3 Test Method 3
1.4 Equipment Under Test (EUT) 3
1.5 Test Equipment List 3
2. MECHANICAL IMPACT TEST 4
2.1 Test Method 4
2.2 Test Procedure 4
2.3 Test Results 4
3. PHOTOGRAPHS 5
3.1 EUT-whole view 5
3.2 EUT- outside view: no damaged after IK07 test 5
Directions 6

1. GENERAL INFORMATION

1.1 Product Description for Equipment under Test (EUT)

AKUVOX (XIAMEN) NETWORKS CO., LTD. product, "EUT" as referred to in this report is a “Door Phone” and the test model is S532.

1.2 Objective

The following Declaration of Conformity of a device is prepared on behalf of the applicant, which is accordance with IEC 62262:2002+AMD1:2021.

1.3 Test Method

All tests contained in this report are conducted with IEC 62262:2002+AMD1:2021.

All tests are performed at Bay Area Compliance Laboratories Corp. (Dongguan).

1.4 Equipment Under Test (EUT)

Manufacturer	Description	Model	Brand
AKUVOX (XIAMEN) NETWORKS CO., LTD.	Door Phone	S532	Akuvox
Address	10/F, No.56 Guanri Road, Software Park II, Xiamen 361009, China		

1.5 Test Equipment List

S/N	Manufacturer and Model	Instrument Type	Instrument I.D	Cal. Last Date	Cal. Due Date
1	Bonade	Steel ball	T-08-SF264	2022-11-22	2025-11-21
2	PWS280	Hygrothermograph	T-08-QA026	2023-11-17	2024-11-16
3	AIRAJ	Digital Caliper	T-08-SF323	2022-10-13	2024-10-12
4	B&Q	Steel tape	T-08-SF197	2022-11-21	2027-11-20

2. Mechanical impact test

2.1 Test Method

I. IK code and mechanical impact energy

Table 1 – Relation between IK code and impact energy

IK code	IK00	IK01	IK02	IK03	IK04	IK05	IK06	IK07	IK08	IK09	IK10	IK11
Impact Energy, J	*[a]	0,14	0,2	0,35	0,5	0,7	1	2	5	10	20	50
*[a] Not protected according to this document.												

II. This test was done by using the apparatus described in IEC 60068-2-75.

During the test the enclosure shall be mounted on a rigid support, according to the manufacturer's instructions for use. A support is considered to be sufficiently rigid if its displacement is less than or equal to 0.1mm under the effect of an impact directly applied and whose energy corresponds to the degree of protection. Alternative mounting and support, suitable for the product, may be specified in the relevant product standard.

Test the front side of the sample according to customer requirements

2.2 Test Procedure

1. Check the function and appearance before test.
2. Mount the EUT on the test surface.
3. Choose the right striking elements to do the test.
4. Check the enclosure surface.
5. Test is completed.

2.3 Test Results

Sample No.:	5J (IK08)
Front surface	P

Result:
(x) After the IK08 drop shock test, no damaged.
(x)The IK code of the sample comply with level-IK08.

Pass

3. PHOTOGRAPHS

3.1 EUT-whole view



3.2 EUT- outside view: no damaged after IK08 test



Directions

1. The information marked # is provided by the applicant, the laboratory is not responsible for its authenticity and this information can affect the validity of the result in the test report.
2. Unless otherwise stated the results shown in this test report refer only to the sample(s) tested and such sample(s) are retained for 30 days only.
3. Otherwise required by the applicant or Product Regulations, Decision Rule in this report did not consider the uncertainty.
4. The extended uncertainty given in this report is obtained by combining the standard uncertainty times the coverage factor K with the 95% confidence interval.
5. This report cannot be reproduced except in full, without prior written approval of the Company.
6. This report is valid only with a valid digital signature. The digital signature may be available only under the Adobe software above version 7.0.
7. For the difference between the tested model and the multiple models, the applicant had provided a statement and promised to be responsible for its authenticity. The laboratory has confirmed the difference of relevant samples before testing.

********End of report ********